UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
DARNELL WILLOUGHBY,	x	
Pla	aintiff,	COMPLAINT
-against-		
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DETECTIVE CARLOS MARCHENA, Shield No. 6212, DETECTIVE PETER J. VALENTIN, Shield No.: 002708, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 4, Individually and in their official capacities (the names John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),		Jury Trial Demanded
De	efendants.	
	λ	

Plaintiff, DARNELL WILLOUGHBY, by his attorney, EDWARD FRIEDMAN, complaining of the defendants, respectfully allege as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S. §§ 1983 and 1988 for violation of the plaintiff's civil rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitution of the State of New York and the United States.

JURISDICTION

- 2. The action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
 - 3. Jurisdiction is found upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343 and 1367.

VENUE

4. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C.

§1391(b), in that it is the District in which the claim arose.

JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues in the matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY is a legal resident of the United States and a resident of the State of New York.
- 7. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK maintains the New York City Police
 Department, a duly authorized public authority and/or police department, authorized to
 perform all functions of a police department as per the applicable sections of the
 aforementioned municipal corporation, City of New York.
- 9. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendants, CARLOS MARCHENA, PETER J. VALENTIN and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 Through 4 were duly sworn police officers of said department and were acting under the supervision of said department and according to their official duties.
- 10. That at all times hereinafter mentioned the defendants, either personally or through their employees, were acting under color of state law and/or in compliance with the

officials rules, regulations, laws, statutes, customs, usages and/or practices of the State or City of New York.

- 11. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 12. Each and all of the acts to be alleged herein were done by said individuals while acting in furtherance of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

FACTS

- 13. On December 9, 2011 at approximately 4:20 P.M plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was lawfully inside premises 891 Fox Street in the County of Bronx, City of New York.
- 14. At the aforesaid time and place, the defendants, members of the New York
 City Police Department, unlawfully and without reasonable suspicion or probable cause
 approached plaintiff and arrested him. During the course of this incident, plaintiff
 DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was punched, bitten, assaulted and otherwise manhandled by
 members of the New York City Police Department. The plaintiff was taken to the 41st
 Precinct, and subsequently to Central Booking in Bronx County, City and State of New York,
 where he was arraigned on December 11, 2011 charges of Criminal Possession of a
 Controlled and Resisting Arrest. Plaintiff was held in custody until December 16, 2011 when
 he was released on his own recognizance. Defendants caused plaintiff to be prosecuted until
 May 3, 2012, when all charges were dismissed on the merits.

15. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff, DARNELL WILLOUGHBY, sustained, inter alia, physical injury, emotional distress, embarrassment, and humiliation, and deprivation of his constitutional rights.

FEDERAL CLAIMS

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Deprivation of Rights Under 42 U.S.C.§1983)

- 16. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "15" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees were carried out under the color of State law.
- 18. All of the aforementioned acts deprived plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY of therights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 19. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, with the entire actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.
- 20. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police offices, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and the rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

- 21. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of State law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.
- 22. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was injured.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Arrest Under 42 U.S.C. §1983)

- 23. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "23" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. The defendants unlawfully arrested the plaintiff against his will without probable cause.
- 25. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff was deprived of his Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Malicious Prosecution Under 42 U.S.C.§1983)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "25 with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendants initiated, commenced and continued a malicious prosecution against the plaintiff by providing false and/or misleading information to the Bronx County

District Attorney's Office.

28. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was injured.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Supervisory Liability Under 42 U.S.C. §1983)

- 29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs number "1" though "28" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. The supervisory defendants personally caused plaintiff's constitutional injury by being deliberately or consciously indifferent to the rights of others in failing to property supervise and train their subordinate employees.
- 31. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was injured.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure To Intervene Under 42 U.S.C. §1983)

- 32. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs number "1" through "31" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants had an affirmative duty to intervene on behalf of plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY, whose constitutional rights were being violated in their presence by other officers.

- 34. The defendants failed to intervene to prevent the unlawful conduct described herein.
- 35. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY's liberty was restricted for an extended period of time, he was assaulted and battered, put in fear of his safety, and he was humiliated and subjected to handcuffing and other physical restraints.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Excessive Force Under 42 U.S.C. §1983)

- 36. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "35" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. The defendants unlawfully used excessive force against the plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY without justification.
- 38. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was deprived of his Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Municipal LiabilityUnder 42 U.S.C. §1983)

- 39. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "38" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the

respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

- 40. The aforementioned customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York Police Department included, but were not limited to, a de facto blanket policy, custom or practice of encouraging the unlawful arrests, detention and use of excessive force against young African-American males by the NYPD. In Addition, the City of New York engaged in a policy, custom or practice of inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training and supervising its employees that was the moving force behind the violation of plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY's rights as described herein. As a result of the failure of the City of New York to properly recruit, screen, train, discipline, and supervise its officers, including the individual defendants, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has tacitly authorized, ratified, and has been deliberately indifferent to, the acts and conduct complained of herein.
- 41. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department constituted deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY.
- 42. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department were the direct and proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY as alleged herein.

- 43. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department were the moving force behind the Constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY as alleged herein.
- 44. As a result of the foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY was unlawfully seized, assaulted, battered, detained and incarcerated.
- 45. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, were directly and actively involved in violating plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY's constitutional rights.
- 46. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived plaintiff of federally protected rights, including, but not limited to, the right:
 - A. Not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law;
 - B. To be free from false arrest and malicious prosecution,
 - C. To be free from assault and battery;
 - D. To be free from the use of excessive force and/or the failure to intervene.
- 47. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff DARNELL WILLOUGHBY is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to

Case 1:12-cv-09334-JMF Document 1 Filed 12/21/12 Page 10 of 10

punitive damages against the individual defendants I an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus

reasonable attorney's fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demand judgment and prays for the following relief, jointly

and severally, against the defendants:

A. Full and fair compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

B. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

C. Reasonable attorney's fees and the costs and disbursements of their actions;

and

D. Such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

November 21, 2012

EDWARD FRIEDMAN (EDF 4000)

Attorney for Plaintiff

26 Court Street - Suite 1903 Brooklyn, New York 11242

(718) 852-8849

10